H.B. 463 harms Utah families

- The bill, if passed, would disrupt coverage of medically-necessary services for individuals with conditions including diabetes, behavioral health concerns, ext. and those requiring home and community-based health services.
- The bill unwinds Utah's voter-approved Medicaid expansion and attacks the heart of the services provided by the legacy Medicaid program.

H.B. 463 is not sound policy

- Fiscal: the bill would reduce the amount of Utah federal tax dollars coming back to the state, and the decreased coverage would direct those who have lost coverage to expensive and inappropriate care settings like Emergency Departments.
- Administrative: the bill would place extraordinary burdens on Medicaid administration. As a consequence, individuals would face greater wait times, confusion around coverage status, and conflicting messages for Utahns who need the program.

H.B. 463 would undo decades of essential Medicaid legislative work

- Utahns have worked extensively to build a Medicaid program that serves the state's specific needs with particular expansions to include more populations/services including more robust behavioral, dental, maternal, and rural health care services—these could be cut rapidly.
- Taking away these life-saving services would send a threatening message to constituents that calls into question Utah's commitment to support families and care for its communities.

H.B. 463 does not establish a mechanism to reinstate services, coverage, or re-open enrollment. These changes would have lasting and detrimental impacts.